

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark OfficeAddress: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231B^o

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/135,504	06/22/98	IGBINADOLOR	

PHILLIP IGBINADOLOR
240-27 CANEY ROAD
ROSEDALE NY 11422

LM02/0727

EXAMINER
GRANT, L

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2711	17

07/27/00

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Interview SummaryApplication No.
09/135,504

Applicant(s)

IGBINADOLOR

Examiner

Christopher Grant

Group Art Unit

2711

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Christopher Grant

(3) _____

(2) Phillip Igbinalolor

(4) _____

Date of Interview Jul 26, 2000Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative).Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No. If yes, brief description:Agreement ☒ was reached. ☐ was not reached.Claim(s) discussed: 1-4

Identification of prior art discussed:

none

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Discussed the rejections indicated to in the Office Action mailed 7/20/00. The examiner informed applicant to delete all new subject matter and/or to clearly point where in the substitute specification (by means of a mark-up copy) the subject matter can be found relative to the originally filed specification. The examiner also informed applicant to submit a new substitute specification and mark-up copy for review. Applicant was informed to inspect the cited patents for directions on how to construct claims. The examiner also informed applicant to schedule a personal interview in order to advance prosecution on the merits. Applicant contends that the Office Action and the telephone interview are sufficient.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. ☐ Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

CHRISTOPHER GRANT
PRIMARY EXAMINER
ART UNIT 2711

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.